

Applicant : Masyada Page 7
Serial Number : 10/083,190
Amendment in Response to Office Action of 11/12/2004

REMARKS


The Examiner's Office Action of 12/08/2004 has been reviewed.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This has been traversed by the amendment to Claim 1 where the trademark has been removed and replace with a definition of said trademark as it appears in the trademark application.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 2 "under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)" as being unpatentable over Lindsay et al. The amendments herein to Claim 2 clarifies that the system requires both the use of a specific heat and a specific pressure simultaneously which is not taught in the Lindsay et al patent. Said combination is optimal for the killing of organisms.

It is deemed that these amendments to the claims overcome all objections and rejections and place this application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and a notice of allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Edward P. Outkiewicz,
Reg. 46,676
640 Douglas Avenue
Dunedin, FL 34698
(727) 734-2855